

EXHIBIT 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

_____)	
WAYMO LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No.
)	3:17-cv-00939-WHA
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,)	
OTTOMOTTO LLC; Otto)	
Trucking LLC,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NINGJUN QI

San Francisco, California

Thursday, April 21, 2017

Volume I

Reported by: SUZANNE F. GUDELJ
CSR No. 5111

Job No. 2644340

PAGES 1 - 320

1 A Lawyers, other senior leadership, John
2 Bares.

3 Q Who were the lawyers that were involved?

4 A At any point during the transaction?

5 Q Mm-hmm. Yes. 10:39:28

6 A Corporate lawyers, employment, IP, outside
7 counsel, and there could be more.

8 Q Do you remember the names of any of the
9 lawyers who were involved in the negotiations that
10 led to the acquisition? 10:39:46

11 A Yes.

12 Q Who were they?

13 A You want all of them?

14 Q That you can remember.

15 A Andrew Glickman, Christian Lymn, Julie Xu, 10:39:53
16 internal -- Todd Hamlet, Salle Yoo, Justin Suhr,
17 Angela Padilla, Aaron Melville, Jay Choi. Early on,
18 Robert Wu, Eric Schulman, but then they were --
19 ceased to be involved. And then we had Cooley and
20 Mofo as outside counsel. 10:40:46

21 Q And you said that senior leadership was
22 also involved in the negotiations that led to the
23 acquisition; is that right?

24 A Yes, they were involved. It depends how
25 you define negotiations, but they were involved. 10:41:01

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3 certify:

4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5 before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record
8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine
9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true
11 record of the testimony given.

12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15 of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested.

16 I further certify I am neither financially
17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18 of any attorney or party to this action.

19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20 subscribed my name.

21 Dated: 6/23/2017

22
23 

24 SUZANNE F. GUDELJ

25 CSR No. 5111